REMARKS

Claims 2-9, 11, 13-20 and 22-24 are pending in the application. Claims 2-9, 11, 13-20, 22 and 23 have been allowed. Claim 24 stands rejected.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and the remarks hereinbelow.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. 103:

Claim 24 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torres et al. (6,738,075) and Abram et al. (6,462,778) and Murphy et al. (6,282,362). Torres et al., Abram et al. and Murphy et al. are each directed to concepts related to associating an image with image capture location information. In Abram et al. each image is labeled based upon a variety of possible inputs including location coordinates determined bt a GPS system. The labeling can be in the form of data and in the form of icons that depict nearby landmarks. Each image is individually labeled with a single icon. Torres et al. similarly describes a system for associating location information with an image and optionally presenting that location information in the form of an icon. Thus, the Office Action correctly suggests that neither of these patents suggests that a capture location icon is linked to another capture locatio icon so that activation of one causes a different one to be presented in the iconic region and with each image of each icon representing a different level of specificity of geographic information.

Murphy et al. does not provide this. Murphy et al. merely provides an image screen 210 that can be alternately presented with a map screen 220. Map screen 220 has only one level of geographic specificity and provides icons within the map showing image capture locations. The lines of Murphy et al. cited in the Office Action appear to describe only icons that are activatable to cause presentation of the actual image content and thus the three way combination of Torres et al., Abram et al., and Murphy et al. therefore fail to describe the presentation of at least one display image comprising said digital image and a capture location iconic region wherein said capture location icons with said image capture location icon being linked to another image capture location icon so that activation thereof by a user causes a different one of the icons to be presented in the capture location iconic region. Accordingly, if only for these

reasons, claim 24 is believed to be in a condition for allowance over the combintaiton.

However, for the sole purpose of expediting prosecution of this matter, claim 24 has been amended and as amended claim 24 is believed to be in a condition for allowance.

It is respectfully submitted, therefore, that in view of the above amendments and remarks, that this application is now in condition for allowance, prompt notice of which is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Registration No. 40,802

Roland R. Schindler II/jrk Rochester, NY 14650

Telephone: 585-588-2736 Facsimile: 585-477-1148